

Local Development Framework

Allocations Development Plan Document

Site Screening Report
(Evidence Base Document)



**LDF
Evidence Base**

If you would like this information in large print, Braille or another language, please contact 01702 318111.

Contents

	Page
1 Introduction	5
2 Methodology.....	5
Residential/Mixed Use.....	5
Employment Use.....	6
Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation.....	6
3 Proformas.....	7
4 Conclusion	125

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The appendix to the Allocations DPD: Discussion and Consultation Document assessed a number of sites identified through the 'Call for Sites' process for potential reallocation for residential uses, employment uses and Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.
- 1.2 A total of 225 sites have been put forward for consideration (including duplicate or overlapping sites) through the 'Call for Sites' process and through consultation on the Allocations DPD: Discussion and Consultation Document (March/April 2010). However, not all of these are appropriate for reallocation in accordance with the balanced strategy agreed within the adopted Rochford District Core Strategy (December 2011).
- 1.3 A screening of the sites has been undertaken to identify those sites which have the potential to conform to the balanced strategy for the future development of the District.
- 1.4 The Rochford District Core Strategy does not preclude sites from consideration through the Allocations DPD process but identifies appropriate and sustainable general locations for housing and employment development. This report, however, does not identify appropriate sites but filters out those which are not considered to be broadly commensurate with the general locations identified in the Rochford District Core Strategy.

2 Methodology

- 2.1 Each of the sites identified have been assessed according to the proposed use: residential/mixed use, employment use or Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation. Where a different use has been proposed it has been concluded whether it is appropriate to reallocate land for the proposed use or not.
- 2.2 Each site, including duplicate sites, has been assessed in turn according to the following decision-aiding questions.

Residential/Mixed Use

- 2.3 The following three decision-aiding questions were primarily used to screen the sites put forward through the 'Call for Sites' process for use as residential/mixed use:
 - Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?
 - Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?
 - Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?

- 2.4 If the answer to all of the questions was ‘no’ then the site was screened out. If, however, the answer was ‘yes’ then the following questions were considered:
- Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?
 - Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?

If the answer to these questions was ‘yes’ then the site was screened in.

- Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

If the answer to this question was ‘yes’ then the site was screened out.

Employment Use

- 2.5 The following decision-aiding questions were used to screen the sites put forward through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for use as employment:

- Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?

If the answer to either of these questions was ‘yes’ then the sites were screened in.

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation

- 2.6 The following three decision-aiding questions were primarily used to screen the sites put forward through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for use as gypsy and traveller accommodation:

- Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?
- Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?
- Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?

If the answer to the first or second question and the third question was ‘yes’ then the site was screened in. The following question was then considered:

- Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

If the answer to this question was ‘yes’ then the sites were screened out.

3 Proformas

3.1 Each site has been assessed according to the decision-aiding questions as set out below.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 1		
Site Name: 340-370 Eastwood Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed Use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 2		
Site Name: Land opposite Barling Magna primary school		
Site Location: Barling		
Proposed Use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 3		
Site Name: Wallasea Marina/Intertidal Defence/Wetland Habitat		
Site Location: Wallasea Island		
Proposed Use: Employment (Marina, Sea Defence and Inter Tidal Wetland Habitat)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: The area of Baltic Wharf which is identified as an existing employment site within Policy ED3 of the Core Strategy has been screened in, however, Wallasea Island and its associated wetland habitat has not been screened in for employment use.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 4		
Site Name: Land at Three Acres and Birch Lodge		
Site Location: Anchor Lane, Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened in

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 5		
Site Name: Land north of Daws Heath Road		
Site Location: Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 6		
Site Name: Land at Bull Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 7		
Site Name: Land south of High Street		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 8		
Site Name: Land north of Folly Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 9		
Site Name: Land south of Arundel Road between Lyndhurst Road and Hillsborough Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 10		
Site Name: 35-39 Crouch Avenue		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 11		
Site Name: Land adjoining Poyntens off Spring Gardens		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 12		
Site Name: Land to the north of Magnolia Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 13		
Site Name: Land off Thorpe Road		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 14		
Site Name: Creek View		
Site Location: Beckney Avenue, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 15		
Site Name: Land west of Hullbridge		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: The section of the site below Riverside Gardens is considered to be commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and as such has been screened in. The rest of the site which extends northwards towards the River Crouch has been screened out.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 16		
Site Name: 287 Daws Heath Road Rayleigh		
Site Location: South Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 17		
Site Name: Land to the south east of Hullbridge Road and Lower Road		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 18		
Site Name: Land at Tower Farm, Lower Road		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 19		
Site Name: The Lords Golf Course and neighbouring land		
Site Location: Hullbridge Road, Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 20		
Site Name: Rawreth Garage Site and associated properties		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 21		
Site Name: Land north of Wren Close		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 22		
Site Name: Land off Poyntens – Part of Great Wheatley Farm		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 23		
Site Name: Land at the Pear Tree		
Site Location: New Park Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 24		
Site Name: Land at Greensward Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 25		
Site Name: Land at Greensward Lane (at the junction of Trinity Wood Road)		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 26		
Site Name: Land to the north of Bull Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 27		
Site Name: Land at Tithe Barn Farm		
Site Location: Poynters Lane, Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 28		
Site Name: Land at the Yard		
Site Location: Trenders Avenue, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 29		
Site Name: Land at Great Wheatley adjoining Western Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 30		
Site Name: Land adjoining Marylands Avenue, Merryfields Avenue, Brackendale Close and Plumberow Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 31		
Site Name: Land north of Bull Lane and East of Albert Road/Blower Close		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 32		
Site Name: La Vallee Farm		
Site Location: Wadham Park Road, Lower Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 33		
Site Name: Peggle Meadow rear of 193 Southend Road		
Site Location: Rochford		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 34		
Site Name: Land at Wellington Road		
Site Location: Raleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 35		
Site Name: Land north of Rawreth Lane		
Site Location: Raleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 36		
Site Name: Land off Hambro Hill/Hambro Close		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 37		
Site Name: Land adjacent to Avoca Lodges south of the Chase		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 38		
Site Name: Land at Westview		
Site Location: Church Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 39		
Site Name: Land off Rectory Avenue		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 40		
Site Name: Land adjacent to Southend Airport		
Site Location: Land adjacent to Southend Airport, encompassing the Airport and stretching into Southend Borough		
Proposed use: Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: The exact boundary of land to the north of London Southend Airport to be allocated for employment use will be determined through the Joint Area Action Plan being prepared by Southend Borough Council and Rochford District Council. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 41		
Site Name: Land south of Ironwell Lane		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 42		
Site Name: Land to the south of Stambridge Road		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 43		
Site Name: Land to the rear of Southview Close		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 44		
Site Name: Frontage to Disraeli Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 45		
Site Name: Flemings Farm		
Site Location: Flemings Farm Road, Eastwood/Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Mixed (Area A – not opposed to use as Cherry Orchard country park extension; Area B – Caravan Site (extension of existing); Area C – Mature Woodland – for consideration in expansion of country park; Area D – housing (hatched area) and extension of country park: recreational/tourism and residential uses as appropriate)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 46		
Site Name: Dahlia Lodge/The Ramblers		
Site Location: Eastwood Rise, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 47		
Site Name: Greenacres		
Site Location: Victor Gardens, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 48		
Site Name: Land at Church Road		
Site Location: Rawreth/ Wickford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 49		
Site Name: Michelin Farm		
Site Location: Arterial Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Employment (Industrial, commercial)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 50		
Site Name: Rectory Farm		
Site Location: Ashingdon Road, Fambridge Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed (recreational and commercial)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 51		
Site Name: 591 Ashingdon Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 52		
Site Name: Land at 57 High Road		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 53		
Site Name: Crouch View		
Site Location: Larkhill Road, Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 54		
Site Name: Land at Pond Chase Nurseries		
Site Location: Folly Lane, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 55		
Site Name: Land east of Spencer Gardens and south of Brays Lane		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 56		
Site Name: Land north and south of Brays Lane, land east of Ashingdon Road, and land north of Doggetts Close		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Conclusion: The sites to the south of Brays Lane (b), east of Ashingdon Road (c) and the southern section of the site to the north of Brays Lane (a) identified within this 'Call for Sites' submission are commensurate with the general locations identified in Policies H2 and H3 and have been screened in. The northern section of the site to the north of Brays Lane and the site to the north of Doggetts Close would not be commensurate with any of the general locations and so these sites have been screened out.</p>		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 57		
Site Name: Land east of Highcliff Crescent		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 58		
Site Name: Land on the South Side of Canewdon View Rd Ashingdon (east of St Elmo)		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 59		
Site Name: Land on the south side of Canewdon View Road (west of 'Ricasoli')		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 60		
Site Name: Land on the north side of Mount Bovers Lane		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 61		
Site Name: Land between Mount Bovers Lane and Holyoak Lane		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 62		
Site Name: Land on the north side of Canewdon View Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Screened out

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 63		
Site Name: Land on the north side of Waterside Road		
Site Location: Paglesham		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 64		
Site Name: Land at Temple Farm		
Site Location: Sutton Road, Sutton/Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed/employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 65		
Site Name: Land at Watts Lane		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 66		
Site Name: Land at Malyons Farm and West Avenue		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened in

'Call for Sites' Reference: 67		
Site Name: Land at 36 Barling Road		
Site Location: Barling		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Screened out

'Call for Sites' Reference: 68		
Site Name: Land at Shoebury Road		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Screened out

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 69		
Site Name: Land to the north and east of Folly Chase		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 70		
Site Name: Land east of Clements Hall Leisure Centre		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 71

Site Name: Land at Daws Heath Road

Site Location: Rayleigh

Proposed use: Residential

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
-----------------------------------	------------	-----------

Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 72

Site Name: Land at Magees Nurseries

Site Location: Windsor Gardens, Hawkwell

Proposed use: Residential/mixed

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
-----------------------------------	------------	-----------

Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened out

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 73		
Site Name: Land at Hambro Nursery		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 74		
Site Name: Land at Shoebury Road/New Road		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 75		
Site Name: Land at Sandhill Road		
Site Location: Eastwood/Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 76		
Site Name: Land south of King George's field		
Site Location: Ashingdon Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 77		
Site Name: Land at Lubards Lodge Farm		
Site Location: Hullbridge Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed (Community, employment)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 78		
Site Name: Land at Lubards Lodge Farm		
Site Location: Hullbridge Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 79		
Site Name: Land at Stroud Green		
Site Location: Hall Road/Cherry Orchard Way, Rochford		
Proposed use: Recreation/Leisure		
<p>Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could contribute to additional leisure and recreational uses within the vicinity of Cherry Orchard Jubilee Country Park. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.</p>		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 80		
Site Name: Redundant buildings at East Hall		
Site Location: East Hall Road, East End, Paglesham		
Proposed use: Holiday lets/tourism		
<p>Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could be allocated for holiday lets/tourism. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt or other policies within the Local Development Framework, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.</p>		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 81		
Site Name: Land at Stambridge Road		
Site Location: Great Stambridge		
Proposed use: Residential with public open space		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 82		
Site Name: Land at Pelhams Farm		
Site Location: Hall Road, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: This site is adjacent to land identified within one of the options in the Discussion and Consultation Document and has been screened in.		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 83		
Site Name: Land south of Mount Bovers Lane		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 84		
Site Name: 212, 213 and 214 Ellesmere Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 85		
Site Name: Former brickworks site		
Site Location: Star Lane, Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 86		
Site Name: Land at Poyntens		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 87		
Site Name: Various routes suggested for cycle paths (Sustrans)		
Site Location: District-wide		
Proposed use: Recreation		
Conclusion: A Sustrans route running through the District has been identified within the Key Diagram of the Rochford District Core Strategy (adopted 13 December 2011). As such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 88		
Site Name: Land east of 8 Preston Gardens		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 89		
Site Name: Land at Trenders Avenue/Rawreth Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 90		
Site Name: Land near Greensward Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 91		
Site Name: Land east of Priory Chase		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: This site is within the existing residential area and has been developed. As such it has not been considered further.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 92		
Site Name: Essexwire Works		
Site Location: Lower Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 93		
Site Name: 206 London Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: The southern part of the site is within the existing residential area but most of the site is in the Green Belt and is commensurate with a general location identified within the Core Strategy. As such the northern part of the site in the Green Belt has been screened in.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 94		
Site Name: Junction of Napier Road and Albert Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 99		
Site Name: Land at Hambro Hill		
Site Location: Rayleigh		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 100		
Site Name: Land at Greensward Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 101		
Site Name: Land at Plumberow Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 102		
Site Name: Land south east of Hockley station		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 103		
Site Name: Land at Newton Hall Gardens		
Site Location: Ashingdon		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 105		
Site Name: Land at Arundel Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 106		
Site Name: "Roma"		
Site Location: Goldsmith Drive, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 107		
Site Name: Land off Arundel Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 108		
Site Name: Land at A127 and A130 junction		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Employment		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 109		
Site Name: Purdeys Industrial Estate extension to south-west boundary		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 110		
Site Name: Wallasea Island		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 111		
Site Name: Land west of Shotgate farm		
Site Location: Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential/open space/employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 112		
Site Name: Land at Cherry Orchard Brickworks		
Site Location: Cherry Orchard Way, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential (Retirement village)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: This site lies within the boundary of the Joint Area Action Plan being prepared by Southend Borough Council and Rochford District Council. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 113		
Site Name: Plots 22 and 39 North of Bull Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 114		
Site Name: Land off Aldermans Hill		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential/open space/woodland		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 115		
Site Name: Land south east of Hullbridge		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 116		
Site Name: Land at Greensward Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 117		
Site Name: Land at Victor Gardens		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 118		
Site Name: Land at St Clements Hall Nursery		
Site Location: Victor Gardens, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 119		
Site Name: Land off Victor Gardens		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 120		
Site Name: Land at Lark Hill Road		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 121		
Site Name: Land rear of 144 Greensward Lane		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 122		
Site Name: Land at Briardene		
Site Location: Ethelbert Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 123		
Site Name: Coombes Farm		
Site Location: Stambridge Road, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 124		
Site Name: Land at Windermere Avenue		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 125		
Site Name: Land along Chelmsford Road		
Site Location: Battlesbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 126		
Site Name: Land South West side of London Road, Rawreth - Plot 13		
Site Location: Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 127		
Site Name: Fairways Garden Centre		
Site Location: Hullbridge Road, Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 128		
Site Name: Land north of Ironwell Lane		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: This site is broadly commensurate with one of the general locations and has been screened in.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 129		
Site Name: Limehouse Nursery Industrial Park and Wyevale Garden Centre		
Site Location: Eastwood Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 130		
Site Name: Land at Hillsborough Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 131		
Site Name: Land to rear of 140 – 142 Rawreth Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 132		
Site Name: Meala Failta and Ye Olde Shoulderstick		
Site Location: Barling Road, Barling		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 133		
Site Name: Land at Waking Road		
Site Location: Waking		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 134		
Site Name: Land to the rear of Gloster Lodge		
Site Location: Stambridge Road, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 135		
Site Name: Land at Bullwood Approach		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 136		
Site Name: Land at Hillsborough Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 137		
Site Name: Land at Church Road		
Site Location: Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 138		
Site Name: Bull Inn		
Site Location: 99 Main Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 139		
Site Name: Land adjoining Lambourne Hall Road/Gardiners Lane		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 140		
Site Name: Land at Rosemount		
Site Location: Anchor Lane, Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 141		
Site Name: Tyndol		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 142		
Site Name: Heath Nurseries		
Site Location: Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 143		
Site Name: Land at Great Wakering Road		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 144		
Site Name: Land at Rawreth Lane		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: The southern site is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in, however, the site to the north of Rawreth Lane would not be commensurate with any of the general locations and so has been screened out. Although part of the southern site is situated within flood zone 2 and 3 there is adequate developable land available to accommodate the housing and infrastructure requirements set out in the Core Strategy.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 145		
Site Name: Land at Lower Road		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 146		
Site Name: Eastwood Nurseries		
Site Location: North of the A127, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 147		
Site Name: Land adjacent Ardleigh House		
Site Location: Hall Road, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 148		
Site Name: Land at Newton Hall Gardens		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 149		
Site Name: Land at Tithe Park		
Site Location: Poynters Lane, Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential/Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: This site was originally submitted for residential development, but this has been amended more recently to employment development. Whilst the site does not relate well with the general location to the west of Great Wakering identified for residential development within the Core Strategy, it does relate reasonably well with the general location to the south of Great Wakering for employment use. This site has therefore been screened in for employment use.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 150		
Site Name: The Dell		
Site Location: Madrid Avenue, Rawreth Lane, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential/Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 151		
Site Name: Land adjacent to "The Old Rectory"		
Site Location: Rectory Road, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 152		
Site Name: Land at Beckney Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 153		
Site Name: Land adjoining 4 Clarks Cottages,		
Site Location: Rawreth Lane, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 154		
Site Name: Land at Ulverston Road and Arundel Road		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 155		
Site Name: Clovelly		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 156		
Site Name: Land at Disraeli Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 157		
Site Name: Land adjacent to Goose Lodge		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 158		
Site Name: Ivanhoe Nursery		
Site Location: Ironwell Lane, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 159		
Site Name: Land to the north and south of Hall Road		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Both the site to the north of Hall Road (a) and to the south of Hall Road (b) have been screened in.		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 160		
Site Name: Highsteppers		
Site Location: Canewdon Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 161		
Site Name: Land at Canewdon Hall Farm		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 162		
Site Name: Land between the Brambles and Bo Via		
Site Location: Clements Hall Lane, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 163		
Site Name: Rushley Cottages		
Site Location: The Common, Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 164		
Site Name: Hawkwell Nursery		
Site Location: Rectory Road, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 165		
Site Name: Land to south of Canewdon		
Site Location: Anchor Lane, Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 166		
Site Name: Land off Ironwell Lane near Rectory Road		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 167		
Site Name: Land at Hooley Drive		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 168		
Site Name: Home Farm		
Site Location: High Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Leisure (Equestrian centre)		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could be allocated for equestrian use. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt or other policies within the Local Development Framework, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 169		
Site Name: Rawreth Village		
Site Location: The site put forward is divided into two parts, one either side of the A1245, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 170		
Site Name: Land at Malyons Farm		
Site Location: Malyons Lane, Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 171
Site Name: Land at Old London Road
Site Location: Rawreth
Proposed use: Commercial (expansion of hotel)
Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could be allocated for commercial use to enable the expansion of the existing hotel complex. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt or other policies within the Local Development Framework, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 172
Site Name: South of Barling Road
Site Location: Barling
Proposed use: Residential

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 173		
Site Name: Land west of Rawreth Industrial Estate		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 174		
Site Name: Land west of Hullbridge		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: The southern section of the site to the south of Riverside Gardens is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The northern section of the site is not commensurate and as such has been screened out.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 175		
Site Name: Land west of Pudsey Hall Lane		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 176		
Site Name: Land at Ashingdon Road (South of Oxford Road)		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 177		
Site Name: Land west of Little Wakering Road		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 178		
Site Name: Land to the east of London Southend Airport		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 179		
Site Name: Land to the north and east of Folly Chase		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 180		
Site Name: Potash Garden Centre		
Site Location: Main Road, Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Although this site is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 181		
Site Name: Land to north west of Long Lane		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 182		
Site Name: The Dome Caravan Park		
Site Location: Lower Road, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential (enlargement of mobile home park)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 183		
Site Name: Land adjacent to La Casita		
Site Location: Wood Avenue, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 184		
Site Name: Maules		
Site Location: Church End, Paglesham		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 185		
Site Name: Land at junction of Long Lane and Lower Road		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 186		
Site Name: Hambro Nursery and Clovelly Works		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge		
Proposed use: Residential/mixed		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 187		
Site Name: Land adjacent to 280 Greensward Lane		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 188		
Site Name: Adjacent to 283 Plumberow Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 189		
Site Name: Boness		
Site Location: Canewdon View Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 190		
Site Name: Lavender Lodge		
Site Location: Canewdon View Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 191		
Site Name: Adjacent to Fairview		
Site Location: Lyndhurst Road, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 192		
Site Name: Land adjacent to 283 Plumberow Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 193		
Site Name: Lark Hill Road		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: The eastern section of the site is commensurate with a general location in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The western section, however, is not commensurate with a general location and has been screened out.		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 194		
Site Name: Land north of Hambro Hill		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 195		
Site Name: Land at Great Wheatley adjoining Western Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 196		
Site Name: Land at 147 – 153 Goldsmith Drive		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Site for travelling showpeople		
Conclusion: Although situated to the west of the District, which would be commensurate with Policy H7 of the Core Strategy, the East of England Regional Assembly's single-issue review (Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England) did not identify a need for sites to be provided for travelling showpeople within the District. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 197		
Site Name: Land to the north of Hall Road		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 198		
Site Name: Land adjacent to Brayside and Little Brays		
Site Location: Brays Lane, Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 199		
Site Name: Stambridge Mills		
Site Location: Mill Lane, Stambridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 200		
Site Name: Former brickworks site		
Site Location: Star Lane, Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 201		
Site Name: Land to the west of Alexandra Road		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 202		
Site Name: Land south of High Street		
Site Location: Great Wakering		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 203		
Site Name: Land at the Yard		
Site Location: Trenders Avenue, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 204		
Site Name: Greenacres		
Site Location: Victor Gardens, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 205		
Site Name: Tyndol		
Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 206		
Site Name: Shilo		
Site Location: Winchester Drive, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 207		
Site Name: 36 Connaught Road		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 208		
Site Name: Hullbridge (4 sites – south of Windermere Avenue, south of Malyons Lane, north of Lower Road, south of Pooles Lane)		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: The sites to the south of Windermere Avenue (a), south of Malyons Lane (b) and north of Lower Road (c) are commensurate with a general location in the Core Strategy and have been screened in. The site to the south of Pooles Lane (d) is not commensurate with a general location and as such has been screened out.

'Call for Sites' Reference: 209		
Site Name: Land between A130 and A1245		
Site Location: Rawreth		
Proposed use: Residential (Mobile homes)		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 210		
Site Name: Land opposite Lower Barn Farm		
Site Location: London Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 211		
Site Name: 'Squirrel's Leap', adjacent to Two Hoot's Bungalow		
Site Location: Bullwood Approach, Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 212		
Site Name: 47 Victor Gardens		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Part of the site is within the existing residential area but a large proportion of the site is within the Green Belt. It is not commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and as such has been screened out.		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 213		
Site Name: Land to the rear of Golden Cross Road, Nelson Road and Brays Lane		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 214		
Site Name: Rayleigh Garden Centre		
Site Location: Eastwood Road, Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential/Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 215		
Site Name: Land to the west of Purdeys Industrial Estate		
Site Location: Rochford		
Proposed use: Employment		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 216		
Site Name: Land at Folly Chase		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 217		
Site Name: Land north of Ironwell Lane		
Site Location: Hawkwell		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 218		
Site Name: Land to the north of Watery Lane		
Site Location: Hullbridge		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened in		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 219		
Site Name: Land at Lark Hill Road		
Site Location: Canewdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 220		
Site Name: 138-140 Lyndhurst Road		
Site Location: Ashingdon		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 221		
Site Name: Land to the rear of 89 Rayleigh Avenue		
Site Location: Eastwood		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 222		
Site Name: Land to the north of Rochford Hall		
Site Location: Hall Road, Rochford		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Conclusion: Screened in

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 223

Site Name: Land south of the junction of Anchor Lane and Gardeners Lane and to the north of Gardeners Lane

Site Location: Canewdon

Proposed use: Residential

Decision-aiding questions:

	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Conclusion: The site to the south of the junction of Anchor Lane and Gardeners Lane is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The site to the north of Gardeners Lane, however, is not commensurate with a general location and as such has been screened out.

**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)**

'Call for Sites' Reference: 224		
Site Name: Land at the end of Etheldore Avenue		
Site Location: Hockley		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

'Call for Sites' Reference: 225		
Site Name: Land at Cheshunt Drive		
Site Location: Rayleigh		
Proposed use: Residential		
Decision-aiding questions:	Yes	No
Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion: Screened out		

4 Conclusion

- 4.1 A number of sites have been identified as being broadly commensurate with the general locations within the Rochford District Core Strategy. These sites will now be considered in further detail in a separate report.